UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,335                  | 05/24/2006                         | Masaaki Takegami     | 4633-0170PUS1       | 5568             |
|                             | 7590 03/03/201<br>ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PO BOX 747                  | OH MA 22040 0747                   | COX, ALEXIS K        |                     |                  |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                    | 3744                 |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                    |                      | 03/03/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/580,335      | TAKEGAMI ET AL. |  |
|                 |                 |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |

|   | ALEXIS K. COX  | 3744  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                    |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request           |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                      |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  | sideration and/or search (see NOT<br>v);<br>er form for appeal by materially red   | TE below);  |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an example of the complex of the | ·  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a                          |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  | •   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> <li>12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  | 10/36/00) Fapel NO(5)  |   |   |  |  |  |
| /Frantz F. Jules/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744   | /Alexis Cox/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3744  |   |   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues on page 4 of the remarks that although it is true that Tanimoto must have some contol means, it is not inherent that the control means would actuate a low temperature compressor to increase the refrigerant suction pressure of the high temperature compressor when the high temperature compressor is suspended and given conditions including a condition concerning a request for cooling in the evaporator are met, and then actuate the high temperature compressor. The examiner respectfully suggest that, although the method steps and their order within this apparatus claim are not inherent, they are certainly obvious.